

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,932	GRAY, RICHARD L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ephrem Alemu	2821	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ephrem Alemu.

(3) Richard Gray.

(2) Jeanette S. Harms.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9-27-04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 102

Claims discussed:
 1-9


Prior art documents discussed:
 Sasaki et al. (US 5,923,542)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An agreement has been reached to amend claim 1, to distinguish Applicants' claimed invention over the prior art of record. Permission has been given to make changes to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment.